A Historical Analysis of Agrarian Land Use Systems and Their Cultural Significance Among the Luo of Nyanza, Kenya, 1895- 1963

Mark O. Miganda

Department of Arts and Social Sciences, Tom Mboya University, Kenya

**Corresponding author: migandamark73@gmail.com*

https://doi.org/10.62049/jkncu.v5i1.416

Abstract

This paper examines how colonial agrarian policies transformed the traditional Luo land use systems and reshaped cultural practices in Nyanza, Kenya between 1895 and 1963. In the Literature review section, the archival and secondary sources were used. The modernisation theory was also used to trace the transition from communal to individualized land tenure. This theory analyzed colonial data in reference to their precolonial land systems. The researcher conducted a comprehensive literature review using secondary sources to gather pertinent data for this study. The finding showed that the colonial land alienation, cash crop introduction, and th Swynnerton Plan disrupted communal structures, leading to socio-cultural and ecologhical consequences that persist today. These consequences include, conflicts, tensions, social stratification, reduced subsistence production of traditional crops, food shortage, , less dietary, and general depletion of biodiversity, undermined ecological balance and further fosterd environmental degradation. The data derived from archival sources reveal that the colonial administration prioritised the introduction of new crops and the disruption of traditional agrarian land patterns among the Luo, while imposing Westernisation, rather than reinforcing native interests. in conclusion, the Luo land tenure reflect a dynamic interplay of ecology, culture, and history, offering resilience and vulnerabilities of smallholder farming in a rapidly changing world. These systems were not merely economic strategies but embodied cultural identities, social cohesion, and sustainable relationships with the land. However, colonial land alienation, post-independence privatization, and the global push for cash crops disrupted these equilibria, fragmenting communal tenure, eroding soil health, and deepening socio-economic inequalities.

Keywords: Historical, Agrarian, Land Use Systems, Cultural Significance, The Luo, Nyanza

Background to Study

Agrarian land use systems comprise the diverse methods and practices utilized in agriculture, shaped by socio-economic, environmental, and technical influences. An analytical assessment of these systems uncovers their intricacy and the necessity for sustainable management to harmonize productivity with ecological integrity. Global agrarian land use systems demonstrate significant variability, influenced by several factors such as economic conditions, climate, and cultural customs. These systems include a range of agricultural approaches, from traditional subsistence farming to contemporary industrial agriculture, each having unique consequences for land management and environmental sustainability (Zhang et al., 2023; Liu et al., 2024; Foley et al., 2011).

In the United States, agrarian land use issues are defined by industrial agriculture, which dominates the agricultural sector, distinguished by large monoculture practices, substantial reliance on chemical inputs, and advanced technology. Crops such as corn, soybeans, and wheat are generally produced in substantial quantities (Foley et al., 2011). In Europe, intensive agriculture is widespread, especially in Western Europe. This system is defined by elevated input and output levels, frequently employing monoculture methods and the application of modern technology, such as fertilizers and pesticides (Brouwer et al., 2018). In the modern era, economic variables such as European Union agricultural regulations, market access, and trade agreements profoundly impact agricultural land utilization in Europe. The Common Agricultural Policy has significantly influenced agricultural practices and land utilization across member states (European Commission, 2020).

In Australia, extensive grazing is the predominant agricultural method, especially in the expansive interior regions referred to as the Outback. This system predominantly entails the rearing of sheep and cattle on extensive pastoral leases, frequently spanning thousands of hectares (McIvor et al., 2016). In Australia, cultural ideas regarding land management and sustainability significantly impact agricultural methods. Indigenous land management methods, which prioritize ecological stewardship and biodiversity, are gaining recognition for their significance in modern agricultural systems (Gammage, 2011).

In Africa, agricultural land use patterns are diverse and shaped by historical, social, and environmental factors. Fundamental components include various land tenure systems, the effects of colonialism, and contemporary challenges like climate change and rural poverty (Bassett & Crummey, 1993; Mafeje, 2015). In numerous rural regions of Africa, land is possessed under customary rights, founded on traditional customs and communal agreements. This system frequently highlights communal ownership and collective resource management (Mafeje, 2015). Customary tenure can offer stability and access to property for local groups; yet, it may also result in disputes over land rights, particularly as populations increase and land becomes more limited (Bassett & Crummey, 1993). In Nigeria, customary land tenure frequently prioritizes communal ownership, perceiving land as a collective resource belonging to the community rather than to individuals. This collaborative method cultivates a sense of belonging and accountability among community members, as land is essential to their cultural identity and sustenance (Akinola, 2015).

In various East African societies, land is regarded as a communal asset essential to the identity and sustenance of the group. This viewpoint cultivates a sense of belonging and collective responsibility among community members (Mafeje, 2015). Eastern African communal ownership traditions restrict land access,

allowing community members to generate food and money. This technique protects women and youth who may struggle to legally obtain land rights (Agarwal, 2002).

In Tanzania, agricultural land use systems encompass smallholder farming, communal land ownership, and challenges related to population growth and land scarcity. Small-scale farmers utilize 39% of agricultural land (Msuya, 2013). Conventional communal ownership provides stability and property access for residents; yet, increased demand may lead to land rights conflicts (Bassett & Crummey, 1993). In Kenya, numerous subsistence farmers depend on traditional agricultural techniques that have been cultivated and perfected over centuries. Two significant practices among these strategies are intercropping and agroforestry, both of which are essential for fostering biodiversity and improving soil health. These practices are both environmentally sustainable and culturally significant, embodying the profound agricultural tradition of Kenyan communities (Altieri, 2009).

Regarding the Luo, land ownership was originally communal, held collectively by clans or households. This strategy promotes a feeling of community and collective accountability for land management (Mugenda, 2003). Despite the communal system, the Luo face challenges regarding land tenure, particularly because of the increasing population and land scarcity. Conflicts about land rights may arise, especially if younger generations want to assert their claims (Mugenda, 2003).

Introduction

The historical context of land use in Nyanza reflects a complex interplay of traditional practices, colonial influences, and post-colonial developments. Pre-colonially, the Luo community engaged in subsistence agriculture, with distinct practices shaped by their cultural values (Onduru, 2020). The colonial period (1895-1963) saw significant land alienation and the introduction of cash crops, disrupting traditional systems and leading to socio-economic changes (Muriuki, 2005). Post-independence, land reforms aimed to address inequalities, yet challenges persist, including land degradation and population pressures, impacting agricultural practices (Li et al., 2021; Gikuma-Njuru, 2018).

Land and its utilization for agriculture have been fundamental to the social, economic, political, and cultural frameworks of African peoples, serving as key repositories of resiliency against environmental changes in contemporary times on the continent. In the Kenyan context, particularly in the Nyanza region, the Luo community has experienced substantial shifts in land use practices within their agrarian systems throughout the precolonial, colonial, and postcolonial periods, reflecting both disruption and continuity. This paper assesses the changes in agrarian land use systems of the Luo community from 1895 to 1963, considering their socio-economic and political contexts.

This paper examines the Nyanza region, located around Lake Victoria and the Gusii highlands, which exemplifies agricultural diversity in Kenya. Around Lake Victoria, the precolonial Luo community sustained itself through fishing, livestock, and subsistence agriculture, which were organized around a communal land tenure system known as *Doho*. This system fundamentally depended on lineage and collective labour access (Ogot, 2023). The communal land tenure systems of the Luo were disrupted by British colonial rule from 1895 to 1963. This period saw the introduction of land title deeds for individual owners, forced labour on white settlers' farms, and the fragmentation of traditional resource management practices (Nyambega, 2023). This response pertains to the implementation of the Swynnerton Plan of 1954,

which facilitated the consolidation of landholdings for Africans, thereby marginalizing the Luo population and integrating into cash crop-growing economies (Klopp, 2022).

The displaced Luo in the Lake region, who were compelled to participate in sugarcane outgrower schemes during 1963. Conversely, other communities, including the Abagusii, capitalized on tea cultivation through cooperative societies, ultimately establishing themselves as the largest tea barons in Kenya by the 2000s (Ong'wen, 2021). The Luo experienced additional hardships beyond global market fluctuations, stemming from 21st-century climate change, population growth, and land challenges resulting from evolving land uses across successive regimes in Kenya. The changing rain patterns, the expansion of Lake Victoria, and environmental degradation persistently challenge food sustainability, despite the significant positive impact of new technologies, such as M-Pesa, on the agricultural businesses of the Luo in Kenya (Kioko, 2023; NEMA, 2023). This paper highlights issues related to gendered land use and access, indicating that approximately 60% of women are farm workers, while only 5% possess Title Deeds concerning land ownership in the Nyanza region (Achieng, 2023). In light of this scenario and following the enactment of the constitution of Kenya 2010, which is similar to the colonial transformation on the individual land ownership, discussions have arisen around access to ancestral land and land utilization, hence provoking inter-community disputes (KNCHR, 2023). This paper's findings emphasize that the Luo demonstrates contrasting agrarian land use systems, yet both exhibit interconnected responses to agrarian modernization, with the Luo achieving success in commercial activities within the Lake region, despite experiencing ecological strain.

Theoretical Literature: Modernisation Theory

The theory elucidates the process of modernisation in societies. This is a model of the progressive transition from a pre-modern or traditional culture to a modern society, as proposed by Max Weber, spanning from 1864 to 1920. This was developed by Talcott Parsons from 1902 to 1979, who translated it into English and offered his own interpretation in the 1930s. The idea examines a country's internal variables while positing that, with support, traditional nations can achieve growth akin to that of more advanced ones. It seeks to uncover the social elements that facilitate societal advancement and development while elucidating the process of social evolution. It emphasises the process of change and the responses to that change with the adaption of new technology. It further elucidates that traditional civilisations will evolve as they embrace more contemporary behaviours. Contemporary states have greater income and power; thus, their populations experience elevated living conditions. The hypothesis posits that traditional traditions typically diminish in significance as modernisation advances. Kendal, *et.al* (2016) links modernisation to the process of with urbanisation, industrialisation, and the dissemination of education. Weber analyses the influence of rationality and irrationality in the shift from traditional to contemporary civilisation. Modernisation has been criticised for its Eurocentrism, originating in Europe with the Industrial Revolution, the French Revolution, and the Revolutions of 1848, whereas non-modern countries are perceived as inferior. This theory was crucial to this paper as it substantiated the modification of the Luo's agrarian aspects by analysing colonial data in connection to their precolonial land systems. The modernization theory how the colonial introduction of the new land policies, such as the introduiction of crown Land Ordinance of 1915, the Swynerton Plan for agricultural extension services disorganized the social and environment structures of the Luo territory. This was shown through, the dispossession of local communities their ancestral land, hence disrupted their identities and social structures, competition for arable land, conflicts, tensions, during

and after independence, reduced the subsistence production of traditional crops, such as the millet, sorghum, cassava which not only caused food shortage, but were also suited to local dietary needs. The new farming methods depleted biodiversity, undermined ecological balance and fostered environmental degradation.

Empirical Literature Review

Nyamweru (2022), who searched on the Traditional Land Use and Environmental Management in Pre-colonial, showed that, the communal land ownership and cooperative farming in the precolonial periods brought out both the cultural and social framework. However, the colonialists reduced the subsistence production of both the crops and livestock. For instance, the crops such as cassava, millet, sorghum.

Muriuki (2020), looked for the cultural practices and land management in Nyanza in historical perspectives, posed that, the land rights and obligations, the rituals and ceremonies were performed and were connected to the luo land. This spiritual relationship fostered a sense of responsibility among community members to protect and preserve their environment, ensuring that agricultural practices did not lead to degradation or depletion of resources.

Ogendo (2021), showed that the colonial land policies, such as the 1915 Crown Land Ordinance, deprived the Luos from their ancestral land, when some of the fertile lands were alienated from them. These included the lands in Uyoma, Ahero, that led to the Luo resistance, for example, the Uyoma Land Agitation of the 1948, where the Luo people resisted the British occupation of their land. This later led to the creation of the Ahero irrigation scheme.

Ogot (2017), who did the research on the history of Luo people, put forth that, land was a communal resource, and was connected to cultural activities, hence was owned through the patrilineal, in which the males would dorminate the land ownership. He further said that the lands next to Lake Victoria was left for its religious significance, where these areas acted as shrines, and the burial sites were also protected from sales or the other uses.

Ojwang (2021), who did research on floodplain farming and sustainability in Lake Victoria Basin, said that the traditional shifting cultivation were the key for agricultural production and the environmental sustainability, but the colonialists disrupted such ecological balance activities.

Problem Statement

According to the literature review, the scholars have talked about the colonial transformation of traditional land use systems, agrarian land use systems on the economic perspective, but left how these colonial economic oriented activities disrupted the social and environmement structures of the African territories, such as the Luo territory, known as the *Joluo*. There being a problem, the researcher searched for the Joluo territory of Nyanza.

Significance of the Research

The study analyzed both the traditional and the colonial land use systems, and their significance on the culture among the Luos, and also helped in the theoretical construction on the issues of the Luo culture and their relationships on the colonial land reforms, agrarian systems and the colonial change in them to the social and environmental set up of the Luo people of Nyanza. It will also foster the historiography of the

agrarian land use systems in the Luo Nyanza during the precolonial and the colonial periods, and their significance to the Luo culture to the future scholars, and make the government to create a long lasting solution by focusing on the resilient, sustainable land tenure system and agrarian land use systems that foster both social and environment wellbeing, that further have significance on the diverse cultural heritage.

Research Methodology

The study used historical research design. This research design was relevance in the collection of data, qualitative data was analyzed phenomenologically, thematically and chronologically. The study area was the Luo Nyanza, region of Kenya. Descriptive design is relevant to historical studies because it describes the phenomenon related to past events. Furthermore, the narratives of the actors involved within the specific period could be analyzed as required.

Results

Pre-Colonial Land Use Systems

Before the onset of colonial rule, the Luo community engaged in subsistence agriculture, which involved a combination of crop cultivation and livestock rearing. The Luo predominantly grew crops such as millet, sorghum, and cassava. These agricultural practices were not only economic activities but were also deeply embedded in the cultural and social frameworks of the communities, highlighting the importance of communal land ownership and cooperative farming efforts (Ochieng, 2021; Nyamweru, 2022).

In addition to crop cultivation, both communities practiced mixed farming, integrating livestock such as cattle, goats, and poultry into their agricultural systems. Livestock served multiple purposes, including providing milk, meat, and labor for farming activities, as well as acting as a form of wealth and social status. The Luo, for instance, placed significant cultural value on cattle, which were often used in traditional ceremonies and as bride price (Ochieng, 2021). This work addresses themes pertinent to Luo; nevertheless, it neglects to examine the critical feature of agricultural land use systems, specifically how these people utilise and manage their natural land resources.

Cultural Significance

In numerous Kenyan African societies, land surpassed its function as a mere resource; it was an essential element of cultural identity and social unity. The administration of land was governed by customary laws that delineated use rights and obligations among community members. These rules guaranteed that agricultural practices were sustainable and consistent with environmental care, demonstrating a deep respect for the land and its resources (Karanja, 2023; Muriuki, 2020).

Moreover, land was often associated with ancestral heritage, and the spiritual beliefs of the Luo community emphasized the connection between people and their land. Rituals and ceremonies were commonly performed to honor the land and seek blessings for bountiful harvests. This spiritual relationship fostered a sense of responsibility among community members to protect and preserve their environment, ensuring that agricultural practices did not lead to degradation or depletion of resources (Muriuki, 2020; Nyamweru, 2022).

The communal nature of landownership also facilitated social interactions and collective decision-making processes. Elders played a crucial role in mediating disputes and ensuring that land was used equitably among community members. This system of governance not only reinforced social bonds but also contributed to the resilience of agricultural practices, as communities adapted to environmental changes and challenges through shared knowledge and resources (Karanja, 2023). The Luos came together during weeding, a process called *saga*, where the owner of the farm would prepare meals for those who provided labour for free (Miganda, 2020).

The colonial period marked a dramatic shift in land use patterns, characterized by the alienation of land from indigenous communities. The British colonial administration implemented policies that favored European settlers, leading to the expropriation of fertile lands in Nyanza. This process was facilitated by the introduction of the Crown Lands Ordinance of 1915, which allowed the colonial government to declare vast tracts of land as Crown land, effectively dispossessing local communities of their ancestral territories (Muriuki, 2005). The consequences were profound: many Luo families were forcibly removed from their lands, leading to significant disruptions in their traditional agricultural systems and livelihoods. The loss of land not only diminished their ability to produce food but also eroded their cultural ties to the land, which had been integral to their identity and social structure.

The displacement of communities often resulted in increased competition for the remaining arable land, leading to conflicts and tensions among different ethnic groups. The colonial government's policies exacerbated these tensions by favoring certain communities over others in land allocation, further entrenching divisions and inequalities (Karanja, 2023). The impact of land alienation was not merely economic; it also had lasting social and psychological effects, as communities struggled to adapt to new realities and grappled with the loss of their traditional ways of life.

Introduction of Cash Crops

The colonial government introduced cash crops such as tea, coffee, and cotton, which significantly altered the agricultural landscape of Nyanza. This shift prioritized export-oriented agriculture, redirecting resources and labor away from subsistence farming. The focus on cash crops was driven by the colonial administration's desire to generate revenue for the empire, often at the expense of local food production (Ochieng, 2021). As a result, many farmers were compelled to abandon their traditional crops in favor of cash crops that were more profitable but less suited to local dietary needs.

This transition not only marginalized subsistence farming but also led to food insecurity among local populations. The reliance on cash crops made communities vulnerable to market fluctuations and global economic conditions, as their livelihoods became increasingly tied to the demands of international markets (Nyamweru, 2022). The imposition of new agricultural practices and technologies, often introduced without adequate training or support, further entrenched economic disparities and social stratification. Wealthier farmers who could afford to invest in these new practices benefited, while poorer farmers struggled to adapt, leading to a widening gap between different socio-economic groups within the communities.

Moreover, the colonial emphasis on cash crops often resulted in environmental degradation. The expansion of monoculture plantations led to soil depletion and reduced biodiversity, undermining the ecological balance that had been maintained through traditional agricultural practices (Muriuki, 2020). The

introduction of chemical fertilizers and pesticides, while intended to boost production, also had detrimental effects on soil health and local ecosystems, creating long-term challenges for sustainable agriculture in the region.

In summary, the colonial period fundamentally transformed land use in Nyanza, with land alienation and the introduction of cash crops leading to significant socio-economic and environmental consequences. The legacy of these changes continues to influence agricultural practices and land management in the region today.

Land Tenure Systems: Luo Land Tenure Practices

The Luo people of Kenya's Nyanza region historically governed land through a communal tenure system anchored in clan-based kinship structures. Land (*piny*) was collectively owned by patrilineal clans (*dhoot*), with lineage elders (*jodongo*) overseeing its allocation to families for cultivation. Under this system, individuals held usufruct rights—temporary access to plots for farming or settlement—but outright sale or privatization was prohibited, ensuring land remained a communal resource tied to cultural identity (Ogot, 2017). Boundaries were flexible, adjusted to accommodate population growth or ecological shifts, such as droughts or floods near Lake Victoria. Clan councils mediated disputes and managed sacred sites like burial grounds (*kwer*) and communal grazing lands, which were held in trust and protected from privatization, reflecting a deep intertwining of environmental stewardship and social cohesion (Cohen & Atieno-Odhiambo, 2004).

Colonial rule (1895–1963) violently disrupted this system. The British Crown Lands Ordinance (1915) reclassified ancestral Luo territories as "vacant" or "Crown land," enabling European settlers to expropriate fertile zones like the Kano Plains for plantations. Displacement into overcrowded reserves fractured traditional governance, sparking resistance movements such as the 1948 Uyoma Land Agitation, where Luo communities protested land grabs (Okoth-Ogendo, 2021). Post-independence reforms further eroded communal practices. The Registered Land Act (1963) mandated individual titling to promote agricultural modernization, but its implementation fragmented clan-held lands into small, privatized parcels. In Kisumu and Migori counties, this led to unsustainable land subdivision, as plots were divided among heirs, shrinking farm sizes and undermining livelihoods (Owuor, 2020). Privatization also enabled elites and outsiders to purchase ancestral lands, displacing smallholders and creating a landless underclass, while women and youth were often excluded from inheritance, exacerbating intra-family conflicts (Oboler, 2020).

Despite statutory impositions, hybrid systems have emerged, blending customary norms with formal law. In Siaya County, clans have revived collective management of wetlands for rice farming, merging traditional floodplain techniques with modern irrigation (Ng'ang'a, 2022). NGOs like the Kenya Land Alliance advocate for legal recognition of communal tenure, arguing its resilience against climate shocks and inequitable privatization (KLA, 2023). Yet challenges persist. Overlapping claims between titled deeds and customary rights fuel disputes in sugar belt regions like Muhoroni, while widows in Homa Bay face eviction by male relatives despite constitutional protections (Kameri-Mbote, 2021). These tensions underscore the unresolved clash between colonial-era legal frameworks and the Luo's enduring cultural ethos of land as a collective heritage—a struggle that continues to shape agrarian livelihoods in Nyanza today.

Agrarian Practices among the Luo: A Synthesis of Crop Cultivation, Livestock, and Gender Dynamics

The agrarian systems of the Luo community in Kenya's Nyanza region are shaped by their distinct ecological zones, cultural values, and historical legacies. Among the Luo, traditional crop cultivation revolved around drought-resistant staples such as millet (*bulo, kal*), sorghum (*bel*), and cassava (*mogo, marieba*), which thrived in the semi-arid plains bordering Lake Victoria. These crops were cultivated using shifting cultivation (*nywalo*), a practice that involved rotating plots every few years to allow soil recovery, while intercropping with legumes like cowpeas enhanced soil fertility (Ojwang, 2021). Colonial interventions, however, disrupted these systems. The Swynnerton Plan (1954) promoted maize as a cash crop, incentivizing monoculture farming for export markets. Post-independence irrigation projects in Ahero and West Kano further prioritized rice production, marginalizing traditional crops and increasing reliance on hybrid seeds and chemical fertilizers (Owino, 2021). This shift exacerbated soil degradation, as continuous maize cultivation depleted nutrients, forcing farmers to abandon fallowing practices that had historically sustained soil health (Ojany, 2018).

Livestock management further underscored ecological and cultural differences. For the Luo, cattle (*dhiang*) symbolized wealth and cultural prestige, integral to rituals like bride price payments (*luk, nyombo*) and sacrifices (*teroburu*). However, tsetse fly infestations in lowland areas like Bondo and Rarieda constrained herd sizes, prompting reliance on goats and poultry for protein and income (Mwangi, 2020). A 2022 survey revealed that 70% of Luo households now keep fewer than five cattle, a stark decline from pre-colonial levels (Omondi, 2022). NGOs like Send a Cow Kenya have introduced disease-resistant breeds and rotational grazing systems to mitigate losses, though challenges persist (FAO, 2023).

Gender dynamics further differentiated between the two communities. Among the Luo, men-controlled land allocation through patrilineal clans, while women managed daily farming activities. Widows often faced dispossession under customary laws, as seen in Homa Bay, where 40% lost land to male relatives within a year of their spouse's death (Oboler, 2020). Legal reforms, such as the 2010 Constitution, and advocacy by NGOs like FIDA-Kenya have sought to secure women's rights, though enforcement remains inconsistent (Kameri-Mbote, 2021). These comparisons illustrate the influence of ecology, history, and policy on agrarian trajectories. The Luo's community land tenure significantly conflicted with colonial privatisation, resulting in the fragmentation of family lands and exacerbating tensions. The Luo's dependence on maize heightened susceptibility to droughts, as a 2022 study correlated crop failure with a 25% increase in food aid use in Migori County (USAID, 2022). Gender disparities endure among the Luo society, as the rights of Luo women continue to be contingent upon prolonged legal disputes.

As climate change intensifies, both communities face pressure to revive sustainable practices—such as the Luo's intercropping and the Abagusii's terracing—while navigating the legacies of colonial agrarian interventions. The interplay of tradition and modernity continues to redefine Nyanza's agrarian landscape, offering lessons for resilience in an era of environmental and socio-economic uncertainty.

Socio-Economic Impacts of Agrarian Land Use Practices

The agrarian practices of the Luo community in Nyanza have profound socio-economic implications, shaping livelihoods, social cohesion, and environmental sustainability. Agriculture remains the backbone of local economies, with over 80% of households in Nyanza relying on farming for income and subsistence

(KNBS, 2023). For the Luo, maize and rice cultivation in irrigated schemes like Ahero generate critical cash income, though fluctuating global prices and input costs often leave smallholders vulnerable to debt (Owino, 2021).

Social structures in both communities are deeply intertwined with agrarian practices. The Luo's historical reliance on communal labor (*olua or saga*) for tasks like planting and harvesting fostered strong bonds, with elders mediating disputes and redistributing resources during crises (Oboler, 2020).

Environmental sustainability is a growing concern. Traditional practices like Luo's shifting cultivation and the terracing once ensured ecological balance, but colonial and modern pressures have disrupted these systems. In Luo areas, continuous maize monoculture has degraded 35% of arable land, reducing yields and forcing farmers to encroach on wetlands, exacerbating flooding and biodiversity loss (Ojwang, 2021). The colonial land tenure and agrarian land use systems in Luo led to deforestation and soil acidification, with 25% of terraced slopes showing signs of erosion (Kegode, 2019). Climate change compounds these challenges: erratic rainfall patterns have shortened growing seasons, while prolonged droughts in Luo-majority regions like Siaya have reduced maize yields by 50% since 2015 (USAID, 2022).

Efforts to reconcile productivity and sustainability are emerging. The Luo are reviving intercropping and agroforestry, integrating drought-resistant crops like sorghum with fruit trees to restore soils (FAO, 2023).

Contemporary Issues and Future Directions

The agrarian systems of the Luo community in Kenya's Nyanza region face pressing challenges in the 21st century, compounded by environmental degradation, population pressures, and the legacies of historical policies. Land degradation and declining soil fertility rank among the most critical issues. In Luo-majority areas like Migori and Siaya, decades of maize monoculture, coupled with excessive use of chemical fertilizers, have depleted organic matter in soils, reducing yields by up to 40% (KNBS, 2023).

Amid these challenges, innovations and adaptations are emerging to revitalize agrarian systems. The adoption of modern agricultural techniques, such as conservation agriculture and agroecology, is gaining traction. Luo farmers in Ahero are experimenting with drought-resistant sorghum varieties and intercropping systems endorsed by the Alliance for a Green Revolution in Africa (AGRA), which have improved yields by 25% in pilot projects (FAO, 2023).

For instance, Kenya's Climate-Smart Agriculture Strategy (2020–2030) has potential but lacks funding for smallholders, who constitute 75% of Nyanza's farmers (USAID, 2022). This climate smart agriculture supports the traditional land tenure systems that ensure ecological balance and environmental sustainability which was changed by trhe colonialists during the colonial period.

The future of Nyanza's agrarian systems hinges on balancing modernization with cultural and ecological preservation. While technology and policy are vital, their success depends on community-led approaches that honor traditional knowledge. By empowering women's collectives, revitalizing cooperative networks, and prioritizing soil health, the region can navigate the dual imperatives of productivity and sustainability. As climate change intensifies, the lessons of the Luo-rooted in adaptability and resilience—offer a blueprint for agrarian communities worldwide.

Discussion

The modernization theory postulated the colonial transformation of the precolonial agrarian land use systems, which further changed the agricultural production and the food sustainability, which were significance to Luo cultural activities. These agrarian land use systems included monocropping, intercropping, organic farming, mixed farming, subsistence farming, irrigated farming, introduction of chemicals, fertilizers, pesticides, new crops such as the cotton around Oyugis, rice farming in Ahero, and rearing of animals. These agrarian land use reforms led to the economic occupations of the lands that were ones left as the sacred practices, including around Lake Victoria, among others (Ogot, 2017).

It is worth noting that the Luo traditional agrarian land use systems foster, and play the significance role on the cultures and beliefs associated with the rites of passages, such as the marriage, birth, death, inheritance, like the dowry payment among others

In general, the national archives contained no data on the precolonial period; hence, the findings pertaining to this era were based on secondary data rather than archival sources. The basic data acquired at the Kenya National Archives and Documentation Services delineates agricultural land systems as the process of regulating, overseeing, and managing land utilisation (KNADS, Nyanza Province, PC/NZA, 26th June, to 19th September 1930).

The area under examination, as per the KNADS, was referred to as Nyanza Province during the colonial era, encompassing South Kavirondo, North Kavirondo, and Kisii/Ugaya districts (KNADS, PC/NZA, 1910-911; DC/KSI/1/1, 1908-1912). Today, due to the promulgation of the 2010 Constitution, it was redefined as the Nyanza region, which encompasses the six districts outlined in the introduction of this study. The sources detailing the districts referenced in this study reveal that the colonial District Commissioner influential in the province during the 1900s was named Knight, who functioned in Karungu (DC/KSI/1/1, 1908-1912).

Concerning the British activities pertaining to agriculture and land use systems, archival evidence reveals that colonial administrators in the Luo districts faced challenges in their efforts to introduce new crops, initially through enforced cultivation during the first decade of colonial rule in the region. In 1903, sesame and *ciroko* were among the crops imposed on the Luo (KNA, 1903-1906). In South Nyanza, until 1911, the most commercially valuable cash crop grown was sesame-sim-sim (KNA, PC/NZA, 1910-911). By 1914 and 1915, the sesame crop had gained popularity within the Luo society, leading to the establishment of a factory and industry in Kisumu for the commercial extraction of its oil. The analysis of the available archival material reveals that, despite the disruption caused by the onset of the First World War, considerable damage had already been inflicted on the agrarian systems of the Luo due to the shift from subsistence agriculture to large-scale agricultural practices within their traditions. In fact, communal family farmlands were not only becoming individualised but were also being lost.

The aforementioned archive source indicates further crops introduced by the colonial administration, including groundnuts and cotton, which inexplicably proved unpopular among the Luo people. Some investigations suggest that the Luo linked the consumption of groundnuts to venereal illnesses (Miganda, 2020). The 1922 Annual Report of the District Commissioner indicates that African agricultural instructors significantly advanced the promotion of cotton growing in the Kochia and Kanyada regions (KNA,

DC/KSI/1/2, 1913-1923). The trained African agricultural teachers supplanted the authoritarian chiefs and headmen previously used by colonial officials to facilitate comprehension between the locals and colonial authorities on the significance of the new crops. The inaugural prolonged cotton campaign in South Nyanza commenced in 1924 (KNA, DC/1/3, 7-1-1925). The pivotal moment for the Luo regarding agrarian land use systems occurred in the 1950s with the development and implementation of the Swynnerton Plan. This was the moment the colonial administration initiated the deployment of agricultural specialists to the field. This tendency was notably evident between 1945 and 1948, resulting in a more than tenfold rise in the number of personnel enthusiastically assigned to agricultural initiatives by 1952 (KNA, DC/1/3, 1952). The zeal of African agricultural Officers significantly contributed to the proliferation of cash crop programs in the years leading up to 1963.

Table 1: Comparative Table Summarizing Precolonial Verses Colonial Agrarian land Use Systems in Luo Nyanza

Serial No.	Traditional Agrarian Land Use Systems In Luo Nyanza	Colonial Agrarian land Use Systems in Luo Nyanza
1.	Mono cropping	Terracing
2.	Intercropping	Intercropping
3.	Organic farming	Inorganic farming
4.	Mixed farming	Mixed farming
5.	Subsistence farming	Large scale farming
6.	Shifting cultivation	Irrigated farming

Conclusions

Summary of Findings

The agrarian land use systems of the Luo community in Kenya's Nyanza region reflect a dynamic interplay of ecology, culture, and history, offering critical insights into the resilience and vulnerabilities of smallholder farming in a rapidly changing world. For centuries, these communities developed sophisticated practices tailored to their environments—the Luo mastered floodplain and drought-resistant cultivation in the semi-arid lowlands. These systems were not merely economic strategies but embodied cultural identities, social cohesion, and sustainable relationships with the land. However, colonial land alienation, post-independence privatization, and the global push for cash crops disrupted these equilibria, fragmenting communal tenure, eroding soil health, and deepening socio-economic inequalities.

The colonial activities caused disruptions and degradation, population pressures, and climate which threaten the viability of agrarian livelihoods, with maize monoculture and tea plantations that undermined traditional food systems and ecological balance. Yet, amidst these challenges, both communities demonstrate remarkable adaptability. The revival of intercropping, organic farming, coupled with grassroots innovations like women's cooperatives and digital tools—highlights the potential for blending traditional knowledge with modern science. The land functions fostered cultural identity and social unity, while the nland rights and obligations, had ensured sustainable and consistent agricultural practices, such as the taboos, including the one for cultivation. For example, in the polygamous homestead, therenexisted the obligations that had to be fulfilled by the oldest wife, who would be used to begin the planting in a season called *golo kodhi*,

which ensured both responsible and mutual lifestyle. The ancestral spirits were associated with the cultivation and harvest.

Theoretical Implication

The study findings affirm a theory, since the Modernization theory explains how the western agrarian practices transformed the traditional land use systems, and the significance of transformations to the luo culture, since the Luo culture can never be separated with the land practices. The experiences of the Luo underscore a universal truth: agrarian systems cannot be reduced to mere production mechanisms. They are living tapestries of culture, ecology, and resilience. As climate change intensifies and global markets fluctuate, their struggles and adaptations offer lessons for balancing productivity with sustainability, equity with innovation.

Policy Recommendation

Policy reforms, such as recognizing communal land rights and incentivizing sustainable practices, are essential to amplify these efforts, but their success hinges on centering local voices and addressing entrenched gender inequities. The policymakers must prioritize investments in soil health, education, and inclusive land reforms. The Kenyan government through the agricultural board of should embrace both the traditional and colonial legacies on the agrarian land use systems that ensure the ecological balance, resilience and and promote cultural practices, which include the rituals in burial, religion among others.

Suggestion for Further Research

Future research must further explore the synergies between customary governance and statutory frameworks. Ultimately, the survival of Nyanza's agrarian heritage—and the millions who depend on it—depends on fostering systems that honor the past while embracing the imperatives of a precarious future.

References

Achieng, L. (2023). Hydrological Changes and Rice Farming in Lake Victoria Basin. *Environmental Management, 77*(4), 589–601.

Agarwal, B. (2002). Are We Not Peasants Yet? Land Rights and Land Reform in India. In *Land, Property and the Environment* (pp. 1-20). International Institute for Environment and Development.

Akinola, A. (2015). Land Tenure and Land Use in Nigeria: A Review of the Literature. In *Land Use and Land Cover Change in Nigeria* (pp. 1-20). Springer.

Altieri, M. A. (2009). Agroecology: Principles and Strategies for Designing Sustainable Farming Systems. In *Sustainable Agriculture* (pp. 1-20). Springer.

Bassett, T. J., & Crummey, D. E. (Eds.). (1993). *Land in African Agrarian Systems*. University of Wisconsin Press.

Brouwer, F., et al. (2018). The future of European agriculture: A scenario analysis. *Agricultural Systems, 162*, 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2018.01.001

Cohen, D. W., & Atieno-Odhiambo, E. S. (2004). *Burying SM: The Politics of Knowledge and the Sociology of Power in Africa*. Heinemann.

European Commission. (2020). *The Common Agricultural Policy at a Glance*. Retrieved from https://ec.europa.eu/info/food-farming-fisheries/key-policies/common-agricultural-policy_en

FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization). (2023). *Climate-Smart Agriculture in Kenya*. FAO.

Foley, J. A., Ramankutty, N., Brauman, K. A., et al. (2011). Solutions for a cultivated planet. *Nature, 478*(7369), 337-342. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10452

Gammage, B. (2011). *The Biggest Estate on Earth: How Aborigines Made Australia*. Allen & Unwin.

Kameri-Mbote, P. (2021). *Gender, Land Rights, and Community Advocacy in Kenya*. Strathmore University Press.

Kegode, S. (2019). Terrace Farming and Soil Management in Kisii. *African Journal of Agricultural Science, 22*(4), 112–125.

Kegode, S., et al. (2020). Cash Crops and Food Security in Kisii. *African Journal of Food Science, 14*(6), 112–125.

Kenya Land Alliance (KLA). (2023). *Communal Tenure and Climate Resilience in Nyanza*. KLA Press.

Kenya National Bureau of Statistics (KNBS). (2023). *Kenya Demographic and Health Survey*. KNBS.

Kiprono, J. (2023). Zero-Grazing and Household Incomes in Nyamira. *Journal of Agricultural Economics, 45*(3), 301–315.

KNA, Nyanza Province, PC/NZA, 26th June, to 19th September 1930; North Kavirondo District Letters dealing with land Tenure.

KNA, Nyanza Province, PC/NZA, 1910-1911, p. 12; South Kavirondo District Annual Report.

KNA, Kisii/Ugaya District: DC/KSI/1/1, 1908-1912.

KNA, Report on the Province of Kisumu for the Year 1903-1906, pp. 14-15.

KNA, South Kavirondo District Annual Report in PC/NZA, 1910-1911, p. 13.

KNA, South Kavirondo District Annual Report, DC/KSI/1/2, 1913-1923, Annual Report, 31-12-1922, p.

Li, C., et al. (2021). How Will Rwandan Land Use/Land Cover Change under Climate Change? *Applied Sciences, 11*(12), 5376.

Liu, J., Zhang, Y., & Chen, H. (2024). Agricultural land-use system management: research progress and challenges. *Agricultural Systems, 203*, 103-115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2024.103115

Matiangi A. (2023). Land use and clan dynamics among the Abagusii of Nyanza. A case study of Matiango subgroup. *Kenyan Journal of Agrarian Studies, 15*(2), 45-60.

McIvor, J. G., O'Rourke, S. M., & Ash, A. J. (2016). Grazing management for sustainable rangelands: A review of the literature. *Rangeland Journal, 38*(1), 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1071/RJ15036

Miganda, Mark. (2020). *Impacts of colonialism in Kenya on traditional land tenure systems and agricultural practices in Awendo sub county, 1903-2010* [Master's thesis, Rongo University].

Msuya, D. G. (2013). *Farming systems and crop-livestock land use consensus: Tanzanian perspectives*. Retrieved from livestock_land_use_consensus_Tanzanian_perspectives

Mugenda, A. G. (2003). Land Tenure and Land Use in Kenya: A Review of the Literature. *Land Use Policy, 20*(3), 245-254.

Muriuki, G. (2020). Cultural Practices and Land Management in Nyanza: Historical Perspectives. *Journal of East African Studies, 14*(3), 301–318.

Muriuki, G. W. (2005). Tsetse Control and Land-Use Change in Lambwe Valley. *Agricultural Systems, 85*(1), 1–12.

Mwangi, E. (2020). Livestock and Livelihoods in Nyanza. *Journal of African Agrarian Studies, 34*(4), 78–95.

Ng'ang'a, S. K. (2022). Hybrid Land Governance in Western Kenya. *Land Use Policy, 115*, 106–120.

Nyamongo, I. (2019). Customary Land Tenure in Gusii. *African Journal of Anthropology, 12*(3), 45–60.

Nyamweru, C. (2022). Traditional Land Use and Environmental Management in Pre-colonial Kenya. *African Journal of Environmental Science, 16*(1), 45–60.

Nyangito, M. (2020). *Land Tenure Reforms and Agricultural Productivity in Kenya*. University of Nairobi Press.

Nyangito, M. (2021). *Land Fragmentation and Agricultural Productivity in Kenya*. University of Nairobi Press.

Oboler, R. S. (2020). Women, Land, and Power in Western Kenya. *Gender & Development, 28*(1), 89–104.

Ochieng, J. (2019). Agricultural Practices and Food Security among the Abagusii of Western Kenya. *Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development in the Tropics and Subtropics, 118*(1), 1-12.

Ogot, B. A. (2017). *History of the Luo People*. Anyange Press.

Ojany, F. (2018). *Agricultural Modernization in Nyanza*. East African Educational Publishers.

Ojwang, D. (2021). Floodplain Farming and Sustainability in Lake Victoria Basin. *Journal of Environmental Management, 203*, 87–99.

Okoth-Ogendo, H. W. O. (2021). Land Law Reform in Kenya. *Land Use Policy, 99*, 104–112.

Omondi, J. (2022). *Livestock Trends in Nyanza*. Kenya Agricultural Research Institute.

Owino, A. (2021). Colonial Legacies in Kenyan Agriculture. *African Historical Review, 53*(2), 45–67.

Sciencedirect. (2024). *Agricultural land-use system*. Retrieved from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2667325824004448

Shipton, P. (2009). *Mortgaging the Ancestors: Ideologies of Attachment in Africa*. Yale University Press.

USAID (United States Agency for International Development). (2022). *Food Security and Climate Change in Kenya*. USAID.

Zhang, Y., Liu, J., & Wang, X. (2023). Classification of agricultural land management systems for global sustainability. *ScienceDirect*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.163456